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Abstract
We propose a kana-kanji conversion system with input support based on prediction. This system is composed of two parts: prediction of succeeding kanji character strings from typed kana ones, and ordinary kana-kanji conversion. It automatically shows candidates of kanji character strings which the user intends to input. Our prediction method features: (i) Arbitrary positions of typed kana character strings are regarded as the top of words. (ii) A system dictionary and a user dictionary are used, and each entry in the system dictionary has certainty factor calculated from the frequency of words in corpora. (iii) Candidates are estimated by certainty factor and usefulness factor, and likely ones with greater factors than thresholds are shown. The proposed system could reduce the user’s key input operations to 78% from the original ones in our experiments.

1 Introduction
TOSHIBA developed the world’s first Japanese word processor in 1978. Unlike languages based on an alphabet, Japanese uses thousands of kanji characters of varying complexity. Hence, to arrange all of kanji characters on keyboard is difficult. On the other hand, kana characters which are phonetic scripts of Japanese have 83 variations; these can be arranged on keyboard. As a result, conversion from kana notations to kanji ones, what is called kana-kanji conversion, has been used. Since Japanese is not written separately by words, segmentation of typed kana character strings has ambiguity. And an ambiguity in conversion exists, too; a kana notation may correspond to some different kanji notations. These make kana-kanji conversion challenging.

We have made efforts to raise a precision of kana-kanji conversion, thinking that high precision can provide a better input environment for the user. A precision of our kana-kanji conversion system reaches 95-98% for several kinds of texts in our previous experiments. Nevertheless, this approach is not enough in the situations where fast typing is hard, e.g., for beginners who are not familiar with keyboard or for palm-size computers. Thus, new method to reduce key input operations is needed.

We propose a kana-kanji conversion system with input support based on prediction. This system is composed of two parts: prediction of succeeding kanji character strings from typed kana ones, and ordinary kana-kanji conversion. It automatically shows candidates of kanji character strings which the user intends to input. The candidates change as the user inputs kana characters. If no appropriate choice is presented, the candidates automatically disappear when the next kana character is entered. Our system, therefore, can be used in the same manner as an ordinary kana-kanji conversion system, and allows the user to save time and efforts for key input without learning new key operations.

We have been considered two issues to generate accurate candidates:
(i) How to determine where typed kana character strings are segmented; since Japanese is not written separately by words, determination of positions where words start is needed to retrieve dictionaries.
(ii) How to determine when prediction candidates are presented; if all of retrieval results are always shown, a system cannot be convenient.

Surveying previous works from the view on above issues, we found that the Reactive Keyboard has been proposed (Darragh et al., 1980). It accelerates typewritten communication with
a computer system by predicting what the user is going to type next. In this system, the top of typed character strings is regarded as the top of words, because English is written separately by words; the issue of segmentation of character strings does not occur.

On the other hand, in previous works for Japanese, a predictive pen-based text input method has been proposed (Takushita and Yamada, 1996). In this system, character strings are input by hand-writing on LCD panel. Since the user usually inputs not only by kana but also by kanji and an alphabet, entered character strings are segmented with the help of the variety of characters. Thus, the issue of segmentation is not considered.

The POBox (Pen-Operations Based On exxample) which is a text input method for pen-based computers has also been proposed (Matui, 1998). It shows succeeding candidates from character strings input by software keyboard. Arbitrary positions of input character strings can be regarded as the top of words, and retrieval results are always shown as candidates; the prediction ordering is based on the user’s previous choice. Since input speed by pen is not faster than that by keyboard, time to choose candidates is shorter than that to input characters. Hence, even if many candidates are shown, this method is effective for pen-based computers. It is, however, inefficient for ordinary keyboard.

We propose a system with following features:
(i) Arbitrary positions of typed kana character strings are regarded as the top of words.
(ii) A system dictionary and a user dictionary are used, and each entry in the system dictionary has certainty factor calculated from the frequency of words in corpora.
(iii) Candidates are estimated by certainty factor and usefulness factor, and likely ones with greater factors than thresholds are shown.

These features provide an efficient Japanese text input environment for ordinary keyboard without learning new key operations.

Section 2 shows an example of text input using the proposed system. Section 3 explains an input support method based on prediction. Section 4 shows efficiency of our system by means of experiments. Section 5 describes conclusions.
version, our system can reduce the input of 21 kana characters, "をかいせいしますのでごさんしゅうねがいます (wo kaisai shimasumode gosanshuu negaimasu)”; only 6 kana characters are needed to input.

3 Input Support Method Based on Prediction

In this section, an overview of the system is shown. Then dictionaries used in the system, factors for estimation of candidates, and user learning are described.

3.1 Overview of the system

Figure 2 shows a diagram of the proposed system. It is composed of a kana-kanji conversion unit and an input prediction unit, and the latter has following four sub-units:

- **Character Sub-strings Generation Unit (a)** generates character sub-strings obtained from segmentation of typed kana character strings.
- **Dictionary Retrieval Unit (b)** retrieves prediction dictionaries using character sub-strings generated by Unit(a).
- **Prediction Candidates Estimation Unit (c)** calculates certainty factor and usefulness factor for all of retrieved results by Unit(b) to estimate candidates.
- **User Learning Unit (d)** extracts phrases adopted by the user, and automatically registers them into the user dictionary.

3.2 Prediction Dictionary

Two kinds of dictionaries are used as a prediction source:
- (i) **System Dictionary** consists of high frequent phrases.
- (ii) **User Dictionary**.
(ii) **User Dictionary** consists of phrases learned from texts which the user typed before.

Each dictionary includes words and phrases without distinction. This is because Japanese is not written separately by words, and high frequent phrases consist of various grammatical forms, such as single word or two words. And each entry has **kana** notation (phonetic script) and **kanji** one.

### 3.3 Estimation of Prediction Candidates

Two kinds of factors are used to estimate candidates:

(i) **Certainty Factor** indicates how certain a candidate is.

(ii) **Usefulness Factor** indicates how useful a candidate is.

These two factors vary as the user inputs a character. Retrieval results are sorted in order of these factors, and only ones with greater factors than thresholds are shown as candidates.

### 3.4 Calculation of Certainty Factor

**Certainty factors** for entries in the system dictionary and the user dictionary are calculated in different manners.

First we make some notational conventions. A typed **kana** character string is denoted by $S$, which has right sub-strings $S_i$ ($1 \leq i \leq L(S)$). $L(x)$ is the length of a string $x$. An entry in the dictionary is denoted by $W$, which has **kanji** notation $W_H$ and **kana** notation $W_Y$.

#### 3.4.1 Entry of System Dictionary

When $S$ is typed, certainty factor for $W$ in the system dictionary is calculated as follows:

$$ Certainty\ factor(W|S) = \begin{cases} \frac{F_H(W_H)}{F_K(S_i)}, & \text{when } S \text{ has a right sub-string } S_i \text{ which partially matches with the head of } W_Y \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} $$

where $F_H(W_H)$ is the frequency of $W_H$ in **kanji** notation corpus, and $F_K(S_i)$ is the frequency of $S_i$ in **kana** notation corpus corresponding to **kanji** one.

For example, certainty factor for “かな漢字変換 (kana-kanji conversion)” is calculated using the frequency in Table 1:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Character strings</th>
<th>Frequency in kana notation corpus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>かな</td>
<td>6,720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>かな</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>かなかん</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>かなかんじ</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>かなかんじへ</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>かなかんじへん</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>かなかんじへんか</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>かなかんじへんかん</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Certainty factor (かな漢字変換 | かな)**

$$ = \frac{70}{191} = 0.366 $$

**Certainty factor (かな漢字変換 | かなか)**

$$ = \frac{70}{114} = 0.614 $$

The values of certainty factor corresponding to every character sub-strings are described in the system dictionary, and are read out at retrieval.

#### 3.4.2 Entry of User Dictionary

Since the system cannot infer which phrases would be registered into the user dictionary, calculation of certainty factor for an entry in the user dictionary from corpora may be impossible. Hence, when $S$ is typed, certainty factor for $W$ in the user dictionary is calculated as follows:

$$ Certainty\ factor(W|S) = \begin{cases} \alpha N(S_i), & \text{when } S \text{ has a right sub-string } S_i \text{ which partially matches with the head of } W_Y \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} $$

where $N(S_i)$ is the number of entries whose **kana** notations start from $S_i$ in the user dictionary, and $\alpha$ is a constant to give greater factor for entries in the user dictionary than that in the system dictionary; i.e., the user dictionary has priority.
3.5 Calculation of Usefulness Factor

An increase in the length of typed kana character strings raises the certainty on prediction, but lessens the usefulness. Hence, usefulness factor is introduced in addition to certainty factor. When $S$ is typed, usefulness factor for $W$ is calculated as follows:

$$Usefulness\ factor(W|S) = \begin{cases} L(W_Y) - L(S_i), & \text{when } S \text{ has a right substring } S_i \text{ which partially matches with the head of } W_Y; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

3.6 User Learning

After the user adopts prediction or kana-kanji conversion candidates, words with longer length than threshold and phrases which satisfy given grammatical conditions are extracted; these are automatically registered into the user dictionary.

For example, suppose that the user intends to input a phrase “会議に出席する (attend at the meeting)”, typing kana characters “かいぎにしゅっせきする (kaigini shusseki suru)”. When “か (ka)”, “い (i)”, and “ぎ (gi)” keys are typed, four candidates are shown in the prediction menu window (Fig.1(c)). Here the prediction menu window does not contain a candidate which the user wants, then the user continues entering the next kana characters “にしゅっせきする (ni shusseki suru)” and kana-kanji conversion key. As a result, “かいぎにしゅっせきする (kaigini shusseki suru)” is converted to “会議に出席する (attend at the meeting)”.

When this conversion candidate is adopted, two words and a phrase are registered into the user dictionary: “会議 (meeting)”, “出席する (attend)”, and “会議に出席する (attend at the meeting)”. If “か (ka)”, “い (i)”, and “ぎ (gi)” keys are typed after this learning, “会議に出席する” is contained in the prediction menu window.

4 Experiments

Efficiency of the proposed system is shown by means of experiments.

4.1 Evaluation Measures

Neither start key for prediction nor cancel key for prediction candidates are need. And so-

$$Operation\ ratio = \frac{P - Q}{P} \times 100\%$$

$$Precision = \frac{R}{S} \times 100\%$$

where $P$ is the length of the original kana text, $Q$ is the length of kana characters complemented by prediction, $R$ is the number of shown prediction menu windows containing appropriate choices, and $S$ is the number of all of shown prediction menu windows.

4.2 Data and Conditions

Two kind of texts, a paper on natural language processing and a letter, were used in our experiments; these texts were not included in the corpora used to calculate certainty factor. A system dictionary with 37,926 entries was used. Thresholds of certainty factor and usefulness factor were 0.1 and 2. The number of candidates presented in a prediction menu window was five or less. If a prediction menu window contained an appropriate choice, it was always adopted. With a view to examining each contribution of the system dictionary and user learning, experiments were carried out in three cases:

(i) Only the system dictionary was used.
(ii) Only user learning was used.
(iii) Both the system dictionary and user learning were used.

We calculated the length of complemented kana characters automatically. An operation ratio and a precision were recorded at every input of 4,500 kana characters.

4.3 Results

Figure 3 shows experimental results.

Decrease in key input operations: Using both the system dictionary and user learning, for the paper, an operation ratio was 97.3–78.6% (line (r3) in Fig.3(a)) and a precision was 20.0–26.7% (line (p3) in Fig.3(c)); for the letter, an operation ratio was 80.7–78.1% (line (r3) in Fig.3(b)) and a precision was 26.1–29.6% (line (p3) in
Fig. 3. Experimental Results. (a) Operation ratio for the paper. (b) Operation ratio for the letter. (c) Precision for the paper. (d) Precision for the letter.

When 45,000 kana characters were typed, an average of the operation ratio was 78%, that is, a 22% decrease in the original operations was obtained; an average of the precision was 25%, that is, a quarter of shown prediction menu windows contained appropriate choices. This precision was enough to realize comfortable operations.

**Contribution of the system dictionary:** Using only the system dictionary, for the paper, an operation ratio was 97.6–99.6% (line (r1) in Fig. 3(a)), that is, a 2.4–3.4% decrease in the original operations was obtained; for the letter, an operation ratio was 90.6–78.8% (line (r1) in Fig. 3(b)), that is, a 9.4–21.2% decrease in the original operations was obtained. As a result, the system dictionary is effective for a text like a letter with idioms or common phrases, because
the system dictionary includes a lot of such phrases. Furthermore, compared a precision using both the system dictionary and user learning with that using only user learning, the former was worse for the paper (lines (p2) and (p3) in Fig.3(c)(d)). As a result, for some kind of texts, the system dictionary not only is ineffective but also reduces a precision.

**Contribution of user learning:** User learning had an effect for an operation ratio after more than 9,000 kana characters were typed (lines (r2) in Fig.(a)(b)). In fact, if the user types about ten pages of texts, a 15–20% decrease in the original operations can be obtained.

5 Conclusions

We proposed a kana-kanji conversion system with input support based on prediction. Our system features:

(i) It shows prediction candidates of kanji character strings which the user intends to input without any special key operation. If no appropriate choice is presented, the candidates disappear automatically when the next kana character is entered.

(ii) Arbitrary positions of typed kana character strings are regarded as the top of words.

(iii) A system dictionary and a user dictionary are used, and each entry in the system dictionary has certainty factor calculated from the frequency of words in corpora.

(iv) Candidates are estimated by certainty factor and usefulness factor, and likely ones with greater factors than thresholds are shown.

(v) Words and phrases are extracted from typed texts, and registered into the user dictionary automatically.

The proposed system could reduce the user’s key input operations to 78% from the original ones in the experiments using two kinds of texts. This system was built into the TOSHIBA Japanese word processor, the JW-8020, which was released in autumn 1998 (Fig.4).

Figure 4: TOSHIBA Japanese word processor, the JW-8020, where the proposed system was built.

To raise a precision by field information and context of texts is our future work.
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